

DPNU-93-31

August 1993

Quantum Fluctuations of Black Hole Geometry

Kouji NAKAMURA¹, Shigelu KONNO, Yoshimi OSHIRO
and **Akira TOMIMATSU**

Department of Physics, Nagoya University

Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01, Japan

By using the minisuperspace model for the interior metric of static black holes, we solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation to study quantum mechanics of the horizon geometry. Our basic idea is to introduce the gravitational mass and the expansions of null rays as quantum operators. Then, the exact wave function is found as a mass eigenstate, and the radius of the apparent horizon is quantum-mechanically defined. In the evolution of the metric variables, the wave function changes from a WKB solution giving the classical trajectories to a tunneling solution. By virtue of the quantum fluctuations of the metric evolution beyond the WKB approximation, we can observe a static black hole state with the apparent horizon separating from the event horizon.

¹e-mail:kouchan@allegro.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

§1. Introduction

Since the discovery of the Hawking radiation, much work has been devoted to the analysis of the quantum evaporation of black holes. In particular, the problem of final fates of evaporating black holes has been much debated. In Hawking's semiclassical calculation, the emitted radiation was found to be exactly thermal. Then, if a black hole evaporates completely, an initially pure quantum state must evolve to a mixed state. This is known as the information loss paradox. As was emphasized by Preskill¹⁾, it is very difficult to resolve the serious puzzle in quantum mechanics and general relativity. Before reaching the final resolution, we must develop quantum theories of the black hole geometry.

A possible way of treating the horizon as a quantum system is to apply the Wheeler-DeWitt equation to spherically symmetric spacetimes. In the superspace canonical formulation, the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints work as quantum equations for the physical state Ψ which is a functional of the metric variables. To make the calculation tractable, Rodrigues et al²⁾ proposed a black hole minisuperspace model and derived the simplified Hamiltonian constraint. Unfortunately their model was found to be incompatible with the momentum constraint³⁾. The compatibility between the two constraints can be recovered if we consider a local minisuperspace model valid near the apparent horizon⁴⁾. From the wave function dependent on the local metric near the apparent horizon, we can derive the mass-loss rate due to the back-reaction of Hawking radiation and show the breakdown of the semi-classical result at the final stage of complete evaporation. The Wheeler-DeWitt approach will be viable as a quantum theory of the horizon. To advance this prospect, in this paper, we want to clarify another quantum feature of

the horizon from the Wheeler-DeWitt equation.

We will consider static states of a spherically symmetric black hole instead of its evolutionary states. In classical relativity the apparent horizon is always located just on the event horizon. The degeneracy of the two horizons can be removed owing to quantum fluctuations of the metric. This was first pointed out by York⁵⁾ under the semiclassical approximation (which treats the time-averaged vacuum Einstein equations), and the notion of “quantum ergosphere” was introduced to explain the origin of black hole radiance. The purpose of our work is to give a Wheeler-DeWitt description for the interesting quantum phenomenon.

For any static, spherically symmetric spacetimes we can choose the metric dependent on a radial coordinate r only. Note that if we are concerned with the interior geometry of black holes, the coordinate r plays the role of a time coordinate, and we have a time slicing on the homogeneous spatial hypersurface $r = \text{const.}$ Because of this homogeneity the momentum constraint becomes trivial, and the Hamiltonian constraint reduces to a partial differential equation.

In §2. we construct the canonical variables from the metric for the interior geometry and write down the Hamiltonian. The spherically symmetric metric permits us to introduce the locally-defined gravitational mass⁶⁾ and the expansion of null geodesics, which are represented by the canonical variables. The mass is required to be conserved for the spherically symmetric Ricci flat system, and the expansion of null geodesics is used to determine the radius of the apparent horizon. Our key idea is to treat these geometrical quantities as quantum-mechanical operators. Nambu and Sasaki⁷⁾ discussed the WKB

solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation by using classical trajectories in the minisuperspace. In §3. we specify the exact solution representing the interior of the static hole as an eigenstate of the mass operator. (The Appendix contains some other examples of the mass eigenstate.)

From the wave function, in §4., we discuss the quantum separation of the apparent and event horizons. In the minisuperspace of the metric variables we find the classically forbidden region between the two horizons where the classical action becomes imaginary. It is shown that the Wheeler-DeWitt equation can give a plausible wave function to model quantum fluctuations of the horizon geometry.

In this paper, we denote the Planck constant and the Newton's gravitational constant by $\hbar$ and G , respectively, and we use units such that the light velocity $c = 1$.

§2. Quantum Operators

We follow the standard canonical formulation of general relativity, by choosing a time slicing. The Einstein Lagrangian density is decomposed into the extrinsic and intrinsic curvatures of a spatial hypersurface. To construct the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints, we give the canonical momentum conjugate to the 3-metric on the hypersurface.

Let us consider the extended Schwarzschild spacetime with the metric written in the form

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\alpha^2}{u}dT^2 + u dX^2 + v(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (2.1)$$

where α is the lapse function. A Cauchy surface of the whole spacetime may be chosen as a 3-surface $T = \text{const.}$, which is the solid line drawn in Fig.1. On the straight line

segment (A) of the hypersurface, T should be regarded as the Kruskal time-coordinate, and the metric coefficients u and v will depend on both T and X . However, on the curved line segment (B), T can be identical with the Schwarzschild spherical coordinate r which works as a time-coordinate inside the black hole, and under the gauge choice $\alpha = 1$ the metric given by

$$u = \frac{2Gm}{T} - 1, \quad v = T^2 \quad (2.2)$$

becomes independent of the coordinate X . In this paper we will treat the metric fluctuations on the homogeneous part of the Cauchy surface (the curved line segment (B)), by assuming the Kantowski-Sachs minisurperspace model such that $\alpha = \alpha(T)$, $u = u(T)$, $v = v(T)$.

The Einstein Lagrangian for this model inside the black hole reduces to

$$L = \frac{V}{4G} \left(-\frac{\dot{v}\dot{u}}{\alpha} - \frac{u\dot{v}^2}{2\alpha v} + 2\alpha \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where the dots denote derivatives with respect to the coordinate T , and the length $V = \int_{(B)} dX$ of the line segment (B) is assumed to be a finite constant. From the Lagrangian (2.3) we obtain the canonical momenta conjugate to the variables u and v

$$\Pi_u = -\frac{V}{4G} \frac{\dot{v}}{\alpha}, \quad \Pi_v = -\frac{V}{4G} \left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\alpha} + \frac{u\dot{v}}{\alpha v} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

and the Hamiltonian written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \Pi_u \dot{u} + \Pi_v \dot{v} - L \\ &= \frac{4G\alpha}{V} \left[-\Pi_u \Pi_v + \frac{u\Pi_u^2}{2v} - \frac{V^2}{8G^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

which gives the dynamical constraint $H = 0$.

To quantize the system, we make the usual substitutions for the momenta

$$\Pi_u \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \Pi_v \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the quantum state of the black hole interior is represented by the wave function $\Psi(u, v)$ on the minisuperspace, which satisfies the Wheeler-DeWitt equation

$$H\Psi = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

To discuss quantum mechanics of the horizon geometry from the wave function, we introduce some geometrical quantum operators. For the spherically symmetric metric satisfying the vacuum Einstein equations, we have a locally defined gravitational mass M as a dynamical constant. If we use the canonical momenta (2.4), M has the form

$$M = \frac{2Gu\Pi_u^2}{V^2v^{1/2}} + \frac{v^{1/2}}{2G}. \quad (2.8)$$

which is weakly commutable with the Hamiltonian as follows,

$$[H, M]\Psi = -\frac{2iG\hbar\Pi_u}{V^2v^{1/2}}H\Psi = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

This commutation relation means that the physical state Ψ can be a mass eigenstate.

Inside the black hole there exists a trapped region bounded by the apparent horizon, which is defined in terms of the expansion of null geodesics. Following Carter⁸⁾, we consider a null-vector decomposition of the metric (2.1),

$$g_{ab} = -\beta_a l_b - \beta_b l_a + \gamma_{ab}, \quad (2.10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} l^a l_a &= \beta^a \beta_a = 0, & l^a \beta_a &= -1, \\ l^a \gamma_{ab} &= \beta^a \gamma_{ab} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where l^a and β^a are the vector fields tangent to outgoing and incoming null rays, respectively. In any spherical symmetric system, these two null-vectors are necessarily tangent to null geodesics. Then, from the expansions for outgoing and ingoing null rays given by

$$\theta_+ = l^a{}_{;a} + \beta^a l^b l_{a;b}, \quad \theta_- = \beta^a{}_{;a} + l^a \beta^b \beta_{a;b}, \quad (2.12)$$

we obtain the useful relation

$$\theta_- \theta_+ = \frac{8G^2 u \Pi_u^2}{V^2 v^2}. \quad (2.13)$$

The substitution of Eq.(2.8) leads to

$$\theta_- \theta_+ = -\frac{2}{v} \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{v^{1/2}} \right) \quad (2.14)$$

In classical geometry, both θ_+ and θ_- become negative in the trapped region, and $\theta_+ = 0$ on the apparent horizon. The event horizon will be the null surface $u = 0$, where in the Schwarzschild spacetime the expansion θ_+ also vanishes. In the quantum version we must treat $\theta_- \theta_+$ as an operator. However, the canonical quantum theory of gravity gives no general procedure for extracting geometrical informations of the spacetime from the wave function. Fortunately, this obstacle can be partly overcome if we consider a static black hole state corresponding to an eigenstate of the mass operator M ;

$$M\Psi = m\Psi, \quad (2.15)$$

where m is the mass eigenvalue and remains constant in the whole spacetime. In our minisuperspace model, the metric variables u and v will be observables on each homogeneous spatial hypersurface $T = \text{const.}$ (Here we assume that dynamical variables which are invariant under spatial coordinate transformations are true observables, even if they

do not commute with the Hamiltonian constraint⁹⁾. Such dynamical variables can be the 3-Ricci scalar ${}^{(3)}R = 2/v$ and 3-volume $4\pi V\sqrt{uv}$ of a hypersurface $T = \text{const.}$) Then, for the mass eigenstate Ψ , Eq.(2.14) assures that $\theta_-\theta_+$ also is a observable on each hypersurface. If the condition $\Psi^*\theta_-\theta_+\Psi > 0$ (i.e., $v^{1/2} < 2Gm$) is satisfied on a hypersurface, it will mean a trapped surface. The apparent horizon will be just the hypersurface where $\Psi^*\theta_-\theta_+\Psi = 0$. (Note that each hypersurface is topologically $R \times S^2$. The variable $v^{1/2}$ denotes a proper radius of S^2 and characterizes the position of the hypersurface in the spacetime.) In general, the event horizon can be defined as a null surface which has a finite proper radius of S^2 . This definition will be valid in quantum geometry, in which u and v become observables. We can observe the null surface $u = 0$ among various $T = \text{const.}$ hypersurfaces with finite v as the event horizon. Thus, in the minisuperspace with the coordinates u and v , we require the positions of the apparent and event horizons to be $v^{1/2} = 2Gm$ and $u = 0$ respectively. The important point is that the wave function allows us to observe a nonvanishing value of the variable u on the hypersurface $v^{1/2} = 2Gm$ as a result of quantum separation of the two horizons. In fact, Eq.(2.8) means that u may not vanish on the hypersurface $v^{1/2} = 2Gm$ by the virtue of the uncertainty principle $\Delta u \cdot \Delta \Pi_u \sim \hbar$.

§3. Wave Function

For the canonical quantization of the theory, we meet with the problem of operator ordering. Taking account of this ambiguity, we write the Hamiltonian operator in the

form

$$H = \frac{4G\alpha}{V} \left(-\Pi_u \Pi_v + \frac{u^p \Pi_u u^{1-p} \Pi_u}{2v} - \frac{V^2}{8G^2} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Then, to keep the commutation relation (2.9), the mass operator must be

$$M\Psi = \left(\frac{2Gu^p \Pi_u u^{1-p} \Pi_u}{V^2 v^{1/2}} + \frac{v^{1/2}}{2G} \right) \Psi. \quad (3.2)$$

The Wheeler-DeWitt equation $H\Psi = 0$ supplemented by the condition (2.15) of the mass eigenstate gives the unique exact solution

$$\Psi = N \frac{z^p}{(v - 2Gmv^{1/2})^p} H_p^{(1)}(z), \quad (3.3)$$

where N is an arbitrary constant, and

$$z = \frac{V}{G\hbar} \sqrt{-u(v - 2Gmv^{1/2})}. \quad (3.4)$$

We can obtain similar solutions for quantum extensions of the Reissner-Nordström and Schwarzschild-de Sitter metrics (see Appendix). The choice of $H_p^{(1)}(z)$ instead of $H_p^{(2)}(z)$ is verified because for the WKB approximation valid in the region $z > 1$ the wave function behaves as

$$\Psi \sim e^{iz}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\hbar z$ corresponds to the classical action S of the form

$$S = \frac{V}{G} \sqrt{-u(v - 2Gmv^{1/2})}. \quad (3.6)$$

This classical action corresponds to one obtained by Nambu and Sasaki⁷⁾, and by Fischler et al⁶⁾.

Because the Hamilton-Jacobi theory requires

$$\Pi_u = \frac{\partial S}{\partial u}, \quad \Pi_v = \frac{\partial S}{\partial v}, \quad (3.7)$$

from Eqs.(2.4) and (3.7) the WKB wave function gives the trajectories of classical solutions on the $(u, v^{1/2})$ -plane as follows,

$$u = -c \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{v^{1/2}} \right), \quad (3.8)$$

where c is the positive constant of integration, and $r_g = 2Gm$. The classical trajectories with different c represent only one physical trajectory, since the constant c appears owing to the degree of freedom of the scale transformation of the coordinate X . The action S vanishes at the classical singularity $v = 0$, where the WKB approximation should break down. This occurs also on the apparent horizon $r = r_g$ and the event horizon $u = 0$. Thus quantum evolutionary paths of the metric variables near the horizons can deviate from Eq.(3.8) which is derived from the semiclassical wave function (3.3).

§4. Separation of Two Horizons

On the classical trajectories given by Eq.(3.8) the action (3.6) remains real. However, the exact solution (3.3) permits us to consider the region where $\arg z$ is chosen to be $\pi/2$. (We require that in the region where z becomes a pure imaginary Ψ must exponentially decrease as $|z|$ increases. In the following discussions we need not specify the values of the non-WKB terms, such as z^p , contained in Ψ .) Let us divide the $(u, v^{1/2})$ -plane into the following four regions shown in Fig.2a: The region A and B where $u > 0$ represent the

metric of the black hole interior, while the metric outside the event horizon occupies the regions C and D where $u < 0$. The metric on the trapped surface where $\Psi^* \theta_- \theta_+ \Psi > 0$ must be a point in the region A and C where $v^{1/2} < r_g$.

Note that the boundary $v^{1/2} = r_g$ between A and B (or between C and D) represents the metric on the apparent horizon where $\Psi^* \theta_- \theta_+ \Psi = 0$. In other words, $T = \text{const.}$ surface represented by $v^{1/2} = r_g$ is the apparent horizon. Unless $u = 0$ on the boundary, we have a geometrical structure of the apparent horizon which is separated from the event horizon. Of course, the classical trajectories (3.8) which cover the regions A and D always pass through the point given by $u = 0$ and $v^{1/2} = r_g$, where the two horizons are degenerate. In fact, for the mass eigenstate Ψ we have the equation

$$u^p \Pi_u u^{1-p} \Pi_u \Psi = \frac{V^2 v^{1/2}}{2G^2} (r_g - v^{1/2}) \Psi, \quad (4.1)$$

(see Eq.(2.13)) which means the existence of a potential barrier to make $\Pi_u \cong \dot{v}$ vanish on the boundary $v^{1/2} = r_g$. Any wave packet with the oscillatory form (3.5) in the classically allowed region A may not be able to propagate across the boundary, except the gate opened at $u = 0$. However, when $z \leq 1$ in the region A (see Fig.2b), the WKB approximation breaks down, and quantum evolutionary paths of the metric variables u and v will considerably fluctuate from the classical ones. This can be also seen from the fact that the wave function (3.3) becomes almost independent of the variables u in the limit $v^{1/2} \rightarrow r_g$. Though the notion of probability is quite obscure, the usual quantum-mechanical interpretation will reject the dominant contribution of the black hole state with completely degenerate horizons. The equation (3.3) rather describes the quantum penetration of the wave function into the classical forbidden region B. Because $\Pi_u^2 < 0$

in the region B, the metric variable v evolves with the imaginary time. If $|z| > 1$, then wave function exponentially decreases. Therefore, to avoid the high potential barrier, this tunneling process will occur through the limited region $|z| \leq 1$ and induce the evolution of u toward the boundary $u = 0$ between the regions B and D.

Recall that our canonical analysis is not applicable to the region C and D ($u < 0$), because the $T=\text{const.}$ hypersurface becomes timelike outside the event horizon. Nevertheless, the analytical continuation of Eq.(3.3) to $u < 0$ shows plausible behaviors of the wave function: There exist the classical trajectories (3.8) in the region D, and the WKB approximation breaks down near the boundary $u = 0$. Though in this paper we have no precise analysis of the wave function for the metric in the region C and D, we can claim that the wave function (3.3) gives a tunneling solution (in the region B) connecting two oscillatory solutions inside the apparent horizon and outside the event horizon. This connection should occur through the restricted region $|z| \leq 1$ near the two horizons.

If one consider a tunneling process passing through the region C, the apparent horizon must be present outside the event horizon. This case will be more important in relation to the black hole radiance. In York's semiclassical argument, the separation of the two horizons is due to some mass fluctuations. To contain this effect, the wave function must be constructed by a superposition of Eq.(3.3) with different masses. Such a superposition will induce a spreading of the width of allowed classical trajectories, which need not pass through the point given by $u = 0$ and $v^{1/2} = 2G\bar{m}$ ($\bar{m}$ is the averaged mass). Then, we can see the separation of the two horizons in the semiclassical level. However, this semiclassical mechanism will be a result (a backreaction) of the Hawking radiation rather

than its origin. If the separation of the two horizons has some relevance to the black hole radiance, we must explain the origin in terms of the quantum tunneling process discussed here. This point should be investigated in future works, which include a more precise derivation of the wave function outside the event horizon.

In summary, under the minisuperspace model with metric variables u and v of the black hole interior, we have found the exact wave function as a mass eigenstate, by introducing the quantum operators corresponding to the gravitational mass and the expansions of null rays. The wave function describes a change from the WKB solution giving classical trajectories to the tunneling solution representing the separation of the apparent and event horizons. The quantum fluctuations beyond the WKB approximation must become important in the restricted region $|z| \leq 1$. For example, the classical trajectory given by $u = -(1 - r_g/v^{1/2})$ enters into the tunneling region, when the variable v evolves into the range $|(v^{1/2}/r_g) - 1| \leq l_p^2/Vr_g$. If the gravitational radius r_g is much larger than the Planck length l_p , the tunneling region remains very narrow, and the separation of the two horizons becomes negligibly small ($V \geq l_p$). This fits well the standard point of view that any quantum effects must be suppressed for large black holes. Thus we conclude that the Wheeler-DeWitt approach based on the canonical quantization of geometrical quantities is useful for quantum mechanics of the horizon.

Acknowledgements

We thank to Y. Nambu for useful discussions. This work is partially supported by

the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan (No. 04640268).

Appendix

Wave Functions of Mass Eigenstates

In the text we have considered the Wheeler-DeWitt equation constructed from the Hamiltonian constraint for the vacuum Einstein equation, and the wave function has been found as an eigenstate of the quantum mass operator. This idea can be applied to some other static systems of the spherically symmetric metric. Here we give the wave functions analogous to Eq.(3.3) as quantum extensions of the Reissner-Nordström and Schwarzschild-de Sitter metrics.

First, let us add the term

$$L_A = \frac{Vv\dot{A}^2}{2\alpha} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

to the Lagrangian (2.3), where A is the electric potential dependent only on T inside the black hole. Then we can obtain the total Hamiltonian

$$H_T = H + \frac{\alpha\Pi_A^2}{2Vv}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where Π_A is the canonical momentum conjugate to A . Under the gauge choice $\alpha = 1$, the Reissner-Nordström metric is given by

$$u = -\left(1 - \frac{2Gm}{T} + \frac{Q^2}{T^2}\right), \quad v = T^2. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In the classical level, Hamiltonian constraint requires

$$\Pi_A^2 = \frac{V^2}{G}Q^2. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

This can remain valid in the quantum level, because we have the commutation relation

$$[\Pi_A, H] = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Further we can find the total mass operator M_T defined by

$$M_T = M + \frac{\Pi_A^2}{2V^2v^{1/2}}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

which is weakly commutable with H_T as follows,

$$[H_T, M_T] = \frac{2iG\hbar\Pi_u}{V^2v^{1/2}}H_T. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

These commutation relations assure the existence of the exact solution with the eigenvalues of total mass m and charge $Q/\sqrt{G}$ for the Wheeler-DeWitt equation, and we obtain the wave function

$$\Psi = N \frac{z^p}{(v - 2Gmv^{1/2} + Q^2)^p} H_p^{(1)}(z) e^{\pm iQ/\sqrt{G}\hbar}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where

$$z^2 = -\frac{V^2u(v - 2Gmv^{1/2} + Q^2)}{G^2\hbar^2}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

In the $(u, v^{1/2})$ -plane the separation of the apparent horizon ($v - 2Gmv^{1/2} + Q^2 = 0$) and the event horizon ($u = 0$) can be discussed in the same way. It is interesting to note that for the case $Q > Gm$ no apparent horizon appears in the quantum level also. The black hole interior $u > 0$ may appear in the classically forbidden region $z^2 < 0$. However, the metric variables in the region $u < 0$ can evolve to $v = 0$ along classical trajectories without violating the WKB condition $z^2 > 1$. Thus no quantum penetration of the evolutionary paths into the region $u > 0$ occur, and no black hole state will be observed.

Next, let us introduce the cosmological constant Λ into the Einstein equations. Then the Lagrangian (2.3) has the additional term

$$L_\Lambda = -\frac{V}{2G}\Lambda\alpha v, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and the total Hamiltonian H_T is given by

$$H_T = H + \frac{\alpha V}{2G}\Lambda v. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Under the gauge choice $\alpha = 1$ the Schwarzschild-deSitter metric becomes

$$u = -\left(1 - \frac{2Gm}{T} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda T^2\right), \quad v = T^2. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

The total mass M_T defined by

$$M_T = M + \frac{\Lambda v^{3/2}}{6G} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

is weakly commutable with H_T . In this case the mass eigenstate for the Wheeler-DeWitt equation must be

$$\Psi = N \frac{z^p}{(v - 2Gmv^{1/2} - (\Lambda/3)v^2)^p} Z_{\pm p}(z), \quad (\text{A.14})$$

where

$$z^2 = -\frac{V^2 u (v - 2Gmv^{1/2} - (\Lambda/3)v^2)}{G^2 \hbar^2}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

The wave function (A.14) shows no new quantum feature, except that if $Gm\sqrt{\Lambda} > 1/3$ all the region $u > 0$ is classically allowed.

References

- 1) J.Preskill, "Do Black Holes Destroy Information?", Preprint CALT-68-1819 (1992).
- 2) L.M.C.S. Rodrigues, I.D. Soares, J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 9, (1989).
- 3) C.O. Lousto, F.D. Mazzitelli, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **6**, 1017, (1991).
- 4) A. Tomimatsu, *Phys. Lett. B* **289**, 283, (1992).
- 5) J. W. York, Jr. *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 12, 2929,(1983).
- 6) W. Fischler, D. Morgan, and J. Polchinski, *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 12, 4042, (1990).
- 7) Y. Nambu and M. Sasaki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **79**, 96, (1988).
- 8) B. Carter, in *General Relativity*, edited by S.W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,1979).
- 9) K. V. Kuchař *Canonical quantum gravity* (gr-qc/9304012, 1993).

Figure Captions

Fig.1. : A time slicing indicated by the solid line in a part of the Kruskal diagram of the Schwarzschild spacetime. The spacelike hypersurface $T = \text{const.}$ is decomposed into the straight line segment (A) and the curved line segment (B).

Fig.2. : The $(u, v^{1/2})$ -plane divided into four regions A, B, C and D. (a) The classical trajectories in the regions A and D, which are drawn by the solid lines corresponding to different c . (b) The breakdown of the WKB approximation in the shaded region $|z| \leq 1$. Any classical trajectories must enter into this region where quantum fluctuations become important, and the metric evolution can be along a tunneling path between A and D.